

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/088,122	03/15/2002	Dennis J. Argazzi	LC-325-PCT-US	8098
	90 11/18/2004	,	EXAMINER	
Hoffmann & F 6900 Jericho Tu			RAJGURU, UMAKANT K	
Syosset, NY 1	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	
	•		DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/088,122	ARGAZZI ET AL			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Umakant K. Rajguru	1711			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication aport or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
- Extended after a first after a first	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  If SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ped patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.			
Status						
1)[🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 A	August 2004				
2a)⊠						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	- Parto Quayro, 1999 C.D.	11, 403 O.G. 213.			
	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application					
5)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	rolootion				
		r election requirement.				
	on Papers					
9)[ -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to Soc 27 CED 4 404(1)			
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached (	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) [ A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority under 25 U.C.C. c.d	40(-) (1) (6)			
a)[	All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received				
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in App	diooties N.			
3	Copies of the certified copies of the priori	tv documents have been ro	onication No			
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17 2(a))	cerved in this National Stage			
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list o	of the certified copies not rec	ceived.			
A44 -						
Attachment(s						
2) Notice Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Informa	ition Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Infor	lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper N	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/088,122

Art Unit: 1711

- 1. A response has been filed on August 03, 2004.
- 2. Claims being examined are still 1-30.
- 3. Rejection of claims 1-11 and 20 under 35 USC 102 (b) (please see item 2 of Office action of April 29, 2004) is now withdrawn following persuasive arguments from the applicants and amendment of claim 1.
- 4. Objection to only claim 12 (see item 7 of same Office action) is also withdrawn now. That to claim 19 is maintained.
- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. Claims 1-11 and 20-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clark Jr et al (US 5272216).
- 7. Claims 12-15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clark et al (US 5272216) as applied to claims 11 above, and further in view of Takiyama et al (US 4814365).
- 8. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clark et al (US 5272216) as applied to claims 1 and 13 above, and further in view of Morita et al (US 6239245).
- 9. Claims 18 & 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) being unpatentable over Clark et al (US 272216) in view of Tokiyama et al (US 4814365) as applied to claims 1 & 13 above, and further in view of Komitsu et al (US 6642309) (as applied to claim 18) and Morita et al (US 5691409) (as applied to claim 19).

Please see the same Office action for these rejections.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,122

Art Unit: 1711

10. On page 8 of above paper, the applicants have referred to examples in Clark to argue that "Clark teaches away from using more than 0.66% by wt of micro wave absorbable particles". This argument is not convincing since examples are meant to illustrate the invention; they do not limit the scope of invention.

Clark teaches using those particles at 10% by wt (in col. 4, lines 63-65). Instant claim 1 requires that amount to be greater than 10% by wt. In col. 2, lines 34-37, Clark mentions that the said amount can be between about 0.1% to 10% by wt. This means that one of ordinary skill in art can use an amount of say 10.001% wt. Teachings of Clark therefore render instant claims prima facie obvious. Furthermore the applicants have provided no data to establish the criticality of using an amount of greater than 10% by wt.

11. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to U. K. Rajguru whose telephone number is (571) 272-1077. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

U. K. Rajguru November 12, 2004

James J. Seidlech Supervisory Patent Examinar Technology Center 1700